

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box, 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/995,791	11/29/2001	Geert Maertens	2551-68	9146	
23117	7590 09/12/2005		EXAMINER		
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			MOSHER, MARY		
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203		LOOK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1648	1648	
			DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No. Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summar	09/995,791	MAERTENS ET AL.		
·	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D.	1648		
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>				
(1) Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D.	(3)	1		
(2) <u>BJ Sadoff</u> .	(4)	!		
Date of Interview: 7 September 2005	Time:	1		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)			
Part I.		1		
Rejection(s) discussed: none				
Claims discussed:				
Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	AS DISCUSSED:		
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 				
MARY E. MOSHER, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	pplicant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: MM called BJS to ask about originally filed specification, PTO copy did not have pages 60-62. Was application filled without these pages or did PTO lose them? BJS said application was filed without these pages, and pointed to original transmission letter which listedspecification pages 1-59 and 63-94.